

John M. Farrell (CA Bar No. #99649)
farrell@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
500 Arguello Street, Suite 500
Redwood City, CA 94063
Telephone: (650) 839-5070
Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

Jonathan E. Singer (CA Bar No. #187908)
singer@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
3200 RBC Plaza
60 South Sixth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 335-5070
Facsimile: (612) 288-9696

Juanita R. Brooks (CA Bar No. #75934)
brooks@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
12390 El Camino Real
San Diego, CA 92130
Telephone: (858) 678-5070
Facsimile: (858) 678-5099

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

GILEAD SCIENCES, INC.,

Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC. (Defendant only), MERCK
SHARP & DOHME CORP., and ISIS
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Defendants and
Counterclaimants.

Case No. 5:13-cv-04057-BLF

**GILEAD'S PROPOSED DAMAGES
VERDICT FORM**

1 We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under
2 the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

3 1. What have Merck and Ionis proved they are entitled to as a reasonable royalty:

4 a) on-going royalty payment of ____% of \$____ in total sales; or

5 b) a one-time payment of \$____ for the life of the patent.

6
7 You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately
8 reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the verdict form in
9 the spaces below and notify the Security Guard that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should
10 retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

11 Dated: March _____, 2016

12 Presiding Juror

13 **Authority:**

14 N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instructions, Sample Verdict Form, Question 14. Gilead's proposed verdict
15 form is based on Question 14 of the Sample Verdict Form, but omits the reference to lost profits, which
16 are not at issue in this case.